

Management of cancer patients in COVID-19 era in hematologic malignancies

Tessa Kerre MD, PhD

Head of clinic Hematology Ghent University Hospital Ghent – Belgium

ONCBE2011116 03 - December 2020



Protecting measures and screening in cancer patients

General consensus measures taken by 'Cancer Core Europe' (CCE) centers during the COVID-19 pandemic

Category

Measure

Hospital wide

- Hospital wide Construct a hospital-wide crisis team responsible for coordinating measures between departments.
- Encourage patients not to arrive early. Offer to text patients when you are ready to see them, so they can wait outside or in the car.
- Instruct patients not to visit the hospital if they have symptoms indicative of possible COVID-19 (unless urgent attention is required).
- Call patients the day before planned hospital admissions, to discuss the presence of any COVID-19-related symptoms.
- Screen patients at the entrance for symptoms of COVID-19 and fever.
- Quickly isolate patients with COVID-19 in specialized departments, with the intent of relocation to regional collaborating hospitals (if possible).
- Reduce preclinical research activities to a bare minimum.
- Stop patient inclusion for clinical studies or trials requiring additional actions and/or visits.
- Consider a tumor type-specific 'exception list' of particularly successful studies for which inclusion continues.
- Discuss each patient with a multidisciplinary team to consider alternative treatment modalities with the fewest visits or lowest capacity problems or that are the shortest in duration.
- Therapeutic adjustments (versus regular guidelines) should be discussed in a multidisciplinary team meeting.
- Conduct multidisciplinary team consultations remotely if possible or include only one representative of each discipline to limit the number of people participating in the meetings. Inform patients about a possibly increased risk associated with anticancer therapy during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- Enable telephone or video consultations for healthcare professionals who need to self-isolate. When postponing procedures or contact moments, anticipate future capacity problems.
- Do not prescribe corticosteroids as anti-emetics (if avoidable), and limit their use in patients treated with immune-checkpoint blockade, to reduce vulnerability to COVID-19.
- With each patient, discuss resuscitation status to anticipate future decisions about intensive care.



How manage patients flow in hospital: ESMO's guidelines: who's at risk?

In cancer patients, categories at risk include:

- Patients receiving chemotherapy, who or have • chemotherapy in the last 3 months
- Patients receiving extensive radiotherapy •
- People who have had bone marrow or stem cell transplants in the last 6 months, or who are still taking immunosuppressive drugs
- People with some types of blood or lymphatic system cancer which • damage the immune system, even if they have not needed treatment (for example, chronic leukaemia, lymphoma or myeloma)

Specific high risk for leucopenia, low Ig's, chronic immunosuppression (steroids, MoAb's)

received



General consensus measures taken by 'Cancer Core Europe' (CCE) centers during the COVID-19 pandemic

Category

Outpatient clinic

Measure

- Do all follow up appointments by phone (except when physical examination is necessary)
- When possible, reduce or delay the number of radiological-response evaluations.
- Prioritize oral or subcutaneous treatments above infusion-based treatments to reduce time spent in the hospital.
- Perform blood tests outside the hospital (e.g., at a general practice or at home), when possible.
- Have oral medications delivered to the patient's home, rather than being picked up at the pharmacy
- Consider omitting supportive treatments (e.g., no bisphosphonate infusion, except in the case of hypercalcemia)
- When possible, organize the administration of intravenous maintenance treatments at home
- When administration at home is impossible, consider temporary breaks or reductions in the frequency of intravenous maintenance treatments for less-aggressive metastatic cancers on a per-patient basis



How manage patients flow in hospital: ESMO's guidelines. (2) patients undergoing active treatment

- Hospitals should identify **specific pathways** in order to guarantee timing of treatment with curative intent and, when possible, also for patients with metastatic disease.
- Outpatient visits for cancer patients should be reduced to the safest and most feasible level without jeopardising patient care.
- For patients receiving oral treatment for which monitoring can be done remotely, drug supply should be provided for at least 3 courses to reduce access to the hospital.
- Blood monitoring for those patients can be done in local labs close to home.
- We suggest implementation of **telemedicine** services.
- We advise to **delay all follow-up visits**.
- More intensive surveillance should be used during treatment for patients with lung cancer or who received previous lung surgery, and for older patients or those patients with other comorbidities.
- Intensive measures should be undertaken to avoid nosocomial spread.
- There should be strict and safe triaging procedures to assess any COVID-19 symptoms and the urgency and necessity of **ho**spitalisation.
- In order to regulate access to the "Cancer Hubs", establish "checkpoint areas" screening for early detection of potentially infectious persons.
- Clinical staff responsible for the checkpoint area should be trained and wear PPE.
- Individuals who meet criteria for highly communicable diseases requiring isolation, such as novel COVID-19 or other emerging infections, must be placed in a private exam room as soon as possible, as per the infectious control guidance found on the WHO and CDC websites. They should be tested and transferred to COVID-19 dedicated areas.

Slide Sets based on the ESMO recommendations for cancer patient management during the COVID-19 pandemic. Downloaded from ESMO website: https://www.esmo.org/guidelines/covid-19-adapted-recommendations-slide-sets. Last Access December 4th



Protecting measures and screening in cancer patients







Hematology departments

Compliance to rules

Van Doesum, J., et al. Leukemia 34, 2536–2538 (2020).



Testing of HCP's and patients even with mild symptoms





Are cancer patients at higher risk to be infected with SARS-CoV-2?

Are cancer patients at higher risk to be infected with SARS-CoV-2?

► HEMATOLOGIC

- Insufficient data published
- Screening largely suboptimal
- Higher risk of false negative testing in hematological patients with PCR (> 20%)
- Hematological cancer patients usually adhere better to hygienic measures
- But: patients with hematological malignancies
 - frequently have decreased immune function (due to their disease and their treatments) \rightarrow increased risk of community- and hospital-acquired infections
 - usually need **frequent visits** to the hospital for evaluation and/or treatment



Are cancer patients at higher risk to be infected with SARS-CoV-2?

► HEMATOLOGIC

Table 1

Patient characteristics. Number of cases and percentage (%) are shown. Only major categories of races are shown.

Patient	Study population	Hematologic malignancies (all-time)	Hematologic malignancies (recent)	COVID-19	COVID-19 + hematologic malignancies (all-time)	COVID-19 + hematologic malignancies (recent)
Total	73,668,830	517,580	56,680	17,130	420	270
Gender						
Female	39,532,900 (54%)	272,010 (53%)	29,860 (53%)	10,050 (59%)	260 (62%)	160 (59%)
Male	33,642,290 (46%)	244,270 (47%)	26,190 (46%)	7060 (41%)	160 (38%)	110 (41%)
Unknown	507,990 (<1%)	1440 (<1%)	780 (1%)	40 (<1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Age						
Junior (< 18)	10,569,970 (14%)	10,800 (2%)	2180 (4%)	1110 (6%)	30 (7%)	20 (7%)
Adult (18 to	44,101,640	200,640 (39%)	23,580 (42%)	11,980	210 (50%)	130 (48%)
65)	(60%)			(70%)		
Senior (> 65)	18,025,500 (24%)	302,110 (58%)	31,160 (55%)	4070 (24%)	180 (43%)	120 (44%)
Race						
Caucasian	40,253,700 (55%)	397,650 (77%)	42,290 (75%)	8460 (49%)	230 (55%)	150 (56%)
African	7,599,730	53,470 (10%)	7210 (13%)	6680	160 (38%)	100 (37%)
American	(10%)			(39%)		
Asian	1,190,850 (2%)	7480 (1%)	660 (1%)	160 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hispanic/	1,054,410	4320 (<1%)	310 (<1%)	20 (<1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Latino	(1%)					
Unknown	9,030,700 (12%)	63,640 (12%)	4770 (8%)	930 (5%)	30 (7%)	20 (7%)



Are cancer patients at higher risk to be infected with SARS-CoV-2?

► HEMATOLOGIC

Odds of COVID-19 infection in patients with recent diagnosis of hematologic malignancies

Exposure	Outcome		AOR (95% CI)	р
Hematologic malignancies	COVID-19	H	11.91 (11.31–12.53)	<.001
Acute lymphoid leukemia	COVID-19	L	31.03 (25.84-37.27)	<.001
Acute myeloid leukemia	COVID-19	⊢ →I	18.94 (15.79-22.73)	<.001
Chronic lymphoid leukemia	COVID-19	H-1	9.54 (8.27-11.00)	<.001
Essential thrombocythemia	COVID-19	H++	20.65 (19.10-22.32)	<.001
Multiple myeloma	COVID-19	H	14.21 (12.72–15.89)	<.001
Myelodysplastic syndrome	COVID-19	H=1	6.59 (5.31-8.18)	<.001
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	COVID-19	H	8.00 (7.32-8.74)	<.001
Polycythemia vera	COVID-19	H=1	4.89 (3.91–6.11)	<.001
	Г 0	4 8 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 40 Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR)		

Fig. 1. Odds of COVID-19 infection in patients with recent versus all-time diagnosis of hematologic malignancies, adjusted for age, gender, race and potential COVID-19 risk factors (cardiovascular diseases, type 2 diabetes, obesity, chronic kidney diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, substance use disorders, cancer therapy (chemotherapy, radiotherapy, immunotherapy), transplant procedure (bone marrow transplant, solid organ transplant) and nursing home stay status.



Are cancer patients at higher risk to be infected with SARS-CoV-2?

► HEMATOLOGIC

Odds of COVID-19 infection in patients with all-time diagnosis of hematologic malignancies

Exposure	Outcome		AOR (95% CI)	р
Hematologic malignancies	COVID-19	H	2.27 (2.17–2.36)	<.001
Acute lymphoid leukemia	COVID-19	<u>⊢</u> •−−1	4.31 (3.68-5.06)	<.001
Acute myeloid leukemia	COVID-19	⊢ ⊷⊣	2.17 (1.84-2.57)	<.001
Chronic lymphoid leukemia	COVID-19		1.95 (1.72-2.21)	<.001
Essential thrombocythemia	COVID-19	H-1	4.29 (4.05-4.55)	<.001
Multiple myeloma	COVID-19	⊢ ⊷⊣	2.74 (2.49-3.02)	<.001
Myelodysplastic syndrome	COVID-19	- - 	0.95 (0.80-1.14)	0.660
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	COVID-19	н	2.05 (1.90-2.20)	<.001
Polycythemia vera	COVID-19	++1	1.43 (1.26-1.63)	<.001
	0	1 2 3 4 5 6 Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR)		





HEMATOLOGIC

- Are patients with a hematological malignancy more vulnerable to a more severe course of COVID-19 compared to patients without a malignancy?
- Are patients with a haematological malignancy more likely to die from COVID-19?

Van Doesum, J., et al. Leukemia 34, 2536–2538 (2020). Wang Q, et al. Blood Rev. 2020 Nov 9:100775.



► HEMATOLOGIC

Patients with a hematological malignancy have a higher hospitalization rate and have a higher death rate (compared to patients without hematologic malignancies)







► HEMATOLOGIC

Patients with a haematological malignancy have a higher mortality rate of COVID (n=39 vs n=53 age-matched controls, COVID+, no hem-cancer)





► HEMATOLOGIC

► Risk factors for COVID death (n=92 hem-cancer and no hem-cancer)

	Univari	ate		Multivariate			
Variable	OR	95% CI	P value	OR	95% CI	P value	
Age >70	4.896	1.685-14.228	.004	7.471	2.109-26.472	.002	
ACEi/ARBs	3.4	1.242-9.308	.017				
Need of oxygen therapy	4.894	1.497-16.004	.009	8.482	2.112-34.058	.003	
Severity > moderate	4.373	1.443-13.258	.009				
Haematological disease	3.680	1.314-10.305	.013	6.652	1.868-23.688	.003	

BLE 4 Univariate and multivariate sistic regression analysis determining k factors of death in non-survivor ematologic and non-haematologic tients



► HEMATOLOGIC

Risk factors for COVID death in patients with a hematological malignancy (n=39 vs n=53 controls, COVID+, no hem-cancer)

	Univaria	ite		Multivariate			
Variable	OR	95% CI	P value	OR	95% Cl	P value	
Age >70	19.25	3.641-101.773	.000	34.86	3.407-356.8	.003	
Hypertension	4.444	1.076-18.355	.039				
ACEi/ARBs	9.778	1.965-48.665	.005				
Need of Oxygen therapy	7.792	1.690-35924	.008				
Severity > moderate	9.429	2.008-44.271	.004				
CRP > 10	7.6	1.609-35.906	.010	13.56	1.28-143.45	.03	

TABLE 3 Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis determining risk factors of death in haematological malignancies patients with COVID-19

Sanchez-Pina JM, Eur J Haematol. 2020;105:597-607.



► HEMATOLOGIC

Patients with a hematological malignancy have a more severe course of COVID and a higher death rate (n=9), compared to other cancer patients





► HEMATOLOGIC

Within patients with a hematological malignancy and COVID (n=39), significant differences between disease types are observed.



FIGURE 1 A. Kaplan-Meier survival plot comparing survival among the different haematological patients according to the underlying disease. Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, acute leukaemia, and histiocytosis had shorter survival. B. Kaplan-Meier survival plot comparing survival among haematological patients with active treatment vs. non-active treatment from hospital admission until last follow-up. CLL, Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia; CML, Chronic Myeloid Leukaemia; HIST, Histiocytosis; LEU, Acute Leukaemia; LIN, Lymphoma; MM, Multiple Myeloma [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]



► HEMATOLOGIC

Systematic review and meta-analysis (n=3377)



Underlying disease	n	% died
Bone marrow failure (AA-MDS)	231	53
Acute leukemias	289	41
Myeloproliferative disorders	293	34
Plasma cell disorders	412	33
NHL (including CLL)	1324	32
NHL (without CLL)	485	32
CLL	517	31



► HEMATOLOGIC

- Systematic review and meta-analysis (n=3377)
- Pooled mortality risk (a: all patients, b: hospitalized patients)



Vijenthira A, et al. Blood. 2020 Oct 28:blood.2020008824.





► HEMATOLOGIC

- ► Systematic review and meta-analysis (n=3377)
- Risk ratio of death (systemic anti-cancer therapy vs no therapy)

	Recent systemic t	therapy	No recent th	herapy		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Aries 2020	8	23	5	11	9.8%	0.77 [0.33, 1.80]	
Booth 2020	22	32	8	29	13.6%	2.49 [1.32, 4.70]	
Dufour 2020	5	16	2	4	5.9%	0.63 [0.18, 2.12]	
Fattizzo 2020	1	2	0	5	1.3%	6.00 [0.34, 107.42]	
Fox 2020	17	42	2	13	5.2%	2.63 [0.70, 9.91]	
Lattenist 2020	4	7	1	5	2.9%	2.86 [0.44, 18.48]	
Malard 2020	4	14	2	7	4.6%	1.00 [0.24, 4.20]	
Martin-Moro 2020	4	13	0	13	1.4%	9.00 [0.53, 151.94]	
Mato 2020	25	90	41	108	18.9%	0.73 [0.49, 1.10]	-
Mei 2020	7	12	0	1	1.8%	2.31 [0.20, 26.61]	
Sanchez-Pina 2020	8	24	6	15	10.0%	0.83 [0.36, 1.93]	
Scarfo 2020	20	64	34	125	17.6%	1.15 [0.72, 1.83]	
Wang 2020	11	47	3	11	7.0%	0.86 [0.29, 2.56]	
Total (95% CI)		386		347	100.0%	1.17 [0.83, 1.64]	•
Total events	136		104				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.11; Chi ² = 18.79), df = 12	(P = 0.09); I	$^{2} = 36\%$			
fest for overall effect	: Z = 0.90 (P = 0.37	['])					

Vijenthira A, et al. Blood. 2020 Oct 28:blood.2020008824.



► HEMATOLOGIC

R

- Systematic review and meta-analysis (n=3377)
- Risk ratio of death (cytotoxic systemic anti-cancer therapy vs no therapy)

	Recent cytotoxic	therapy	No recent th	nerapy		Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV
Aries 2020	5	17	5	11	15.1%	0.65 [0.24, 1.72]	
Booth 2020	17	23	8	29	22.3%	2.68 [1.42, 5.07]	
Dufour 2020	5	16	2	4	11.5%	0.63 [0.18, 2.12]	
Ferrara 2020	5	10	0	5	3.2%	6.00 [0.40, 90.97]	
Malard 2020	4	12	2	7	9.3%	1.17 [0.28, 4.82]	
Martin-Moro 2020	4	13	0	13	2.9%	9.00 [0.53, 151.94]	
Mei 2020	7	10	0	1	3.9%	2.73 [0.24, 31.11]	
Scarfo 2020	5	18	34	125	18.6%	1.02 [0.46, 2.27]	
Wang 2020	11	47	3	11	13.2%	0.86 [0.29, 2.56]	
Total (95% CI)		166		206	100.0%	1.29 [0.78, 2.15]	
Total events	63		54				
Heterogeneity: Tau2 =	= 0.19; Chi ² = 12.50), df = 8 ($P = 0.13$; I^2	= 36%			1001 01
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.00 (P = 0.32	2)					Favours (on tre



► HEMATOLOGIC

► Systematic review and meta-analysis (n=3377)

Take-home Points for Clinical Practice - Regarding Patients with Hematologic Malignancy & COVID

- Mortality appears to be high, estimated at 34%, however, estimate may be biased by a high number of hospitalized patients in published studies
- Age is strongly associated with mortality: among those ≥ 60 years mortality is estimated at 47% (95% CI 41 - 54%), among those <18 years mortality is estimated at 4% (95% CI 1 – 9%)
- Non-white patients appear to experience higher mortality than white patients
- Recent systemic anti-cancer therapy may not impact mortality
- Most patients with hematologic malignancy and COVID survive





► HEMATOLOGIC

Sciensano report Belgium June 2020 (n= 60.029, n=9.655 died)

(1 = aanwezigheid van risicofactor; 0 = afwezigheid van risicofactor)

risk to die in the hospital



Sciensano data 2020, thematisch rapport 2020 (15/3 until 14/6/2020).





► HEMATOLOGIC

BHS registry 2020 of all patients with a hematological disease hospitalized for a PCR+ COVID during the first wave (n=226: 211 hem-cancer, 15 nonmalignant-hem)



Our Belgian results for the first wave in line with the international literature:

Patients with a hematological disease were often hospitalized for COVID (especially MM and AML, less so for HL)

with acceptable survival and a subset requiring intensive care

BHS registry data, courtesy of Hélène Schoemans and Toine Mercier.



- HEMATOLOGIC: what about SCT recipients?
- EBMT registry report
- ► 500 patients registered (> 22 countries)
- ► 6-week mortality:
 - autologous SCT recipients: 19% -
 - allogeneic SCT recipients: 24%



HEMATOLOGIC: identified predictive factors for poor outcome / death

► At diagnosis

- Same as **general** population:
 - High age, comorbidities, high ECOG PS
 - High CRP, leucocytosis, neutrophilia, lymphopenia
- Specific for **hematological** patients
 - Active malignancy, progression
 - Intensity of therapy
 - Underlying disease: acute leukemia, MM, CLL, ET?, HL less

► At admission:

- Age >70, ECOG ≥ 2
- CRP >11, platelet count < 40.000, LDH > UNL, ALC < $600/\mu$ L

Malard F et al. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2020;6:1-5. He W et al. Leukemia. 2020;34(6):1637-1645. Martín-Moro F et al. Br J Haematol. 2020;190(1):e16-e20. Aries JA et al. Br J Haematol. 2020;190(2):e64-e67. Infante MS et al. Int J Lab Hematol. 2020;4:e13301. Piñana JL et al. Exp Hematol Oncol. 2020;9:21. Shah V et al. Br J Haematol. 2020;190(5):e279-e282. García-Suárez J et al. J Hematol Oncol. 2020;13(1):133.





Should we adapt treatment of cancer patients during the COVID pandemic?

Should we adapt treatment of cancer during the **COVID** pandemic?

► GENERAL/SOLID

Because of the expected long duration before normalisation of hospital care, treatment of the underlying disease should be continued when possible





Should we adapt treatment of cancer during the COVID pandemic?

► GENERAL/SOLID

- Do not prescribe corticosteroids as anti-emetics (if avoidable), and limit their use in patients treated with immune-checkpoint blockade, to reduce vulnerability to COVID-19.
- Prioritize oral or subcutaneous treatments above infusion-based treatments to reduce time spent in the hospital. Eg SCIG instead of IVIG
- Consider omitting supportive treatments (e.g., no bisphosphonate infusion, except in the case of hypercalcemia)
- When possible, organize the administration of intravenous maintenance treatments at home
- When administration at home is impossible, consider temporary breaks or reductions in the frequency of intravenous maintenance treatments for less-aggressive metastatic cancers on a per-patient basis



Should we adapt treatment of cancer during the **COVID** pandemic?

- ► HEMATOLOGIC
- ▶ No clear data *will there ever be*?
- No general rules, but for every patient/case: carefully weigh benefit and risks, taking into account:
 - Risk of the disease
 - Risk of the treatment and its effect on the immune system
- Treatment of high-risk diseases (eg. acute leukemias): start asap
- Treatment of lower-risk diseases (eg. CLL, low grade lymphomas): consider delay
- Maintenance treatment?







Are there specific points of attention in treating COVID for cancer patients?

Are there specific points of attention in treating COVID in cancer patients?

- HEMATOLOGIC SCT or CAR-T recipients
- Patients positive for SARS-CoV-2, should not be treated in rooms with laminar air flow or other rooms (HEPA) with positive pressure

- unless the ventilation can be turned off.

- No clear recommendations can be made on specific therapies in SCT recipients, due to limited data and unknown risk vs benefit.
- Even less data available for pediatric patients.
- Therapy should be given in close **collaboration with ID specialists**.



Are there specific points of attention in treating **COVID** in cancer patients?

- HEMATOLOGIC SCT or CAR-T recipients
- Antiviral drugs: no significant impact on death rate
 - **Five days of remdesivir might** provide benefit, especially in SCT patients with moderate to severe COVID-19: recommendation weakened in 12th version vs 11th version of the EBMT recommendations

Anti inflammatory therapy:

- with corticosteroids:
 - of value in non-transplant patients with hematological malignancy
 - Short-term (7-10 d) therapy in immunocompromised patients with severe/critical COVID-19: lower mortality
- other anti-inflammatory therapies (including tocilizumab): conflicting data
- Supportive care is crucial including:
 - non-invasive ventilation
 - anti-coagulants: to prevent thromboembolic complications



Are there specific points of attention in treating COVID in cancer patients?

- HEMATOLOGIC SCT or CAR-T recipients
- Convalescent plasma:
 - Randomized trials: no effect on mortality in randomized trials
 - **Observational** trials: reduced mortality in subgroups of patients
 - Convalescent plasma with higher antibody levels
 - Plasma received within 3 days of COVID-19 diagnosis
- Treatment of viral, bacterial, and fungal co-pathogens should be optimized
- It is currently recommended that **immunosuppressive prophylaxis/treatment** is continued:
 - no data supporting reducing immunosuppression
 - it might even cause harm





Risks of delaying consultation, diagnosis and treatment...

COVID treatment of cancer patients : a simple answer (NIH/NCCN)

 "The recommendations for treating COVID-19 in patients with cancer are the same as those for the general population (AIII)"





What about vaccination of cancer patients?

Will a COVID vaccine be effective in cancer patients? : a study on Influenza in immunocompromised patients



Post vacc H3N2 protective serum titers

Kunisak KM, et al., Lancet Infect Dis. 2009 Aug;9(8):493-504.



What about vaccination of cancer patients?

HEMATOLOGIC

- Several vaccines are in development: mRNA (dead) vaccine
- No specific information on efficacy of COVID vaccine in hematologic patients: based on knowledge of other vaccines
 - Not sooner than 3 months after SCT? 6m after SCT? (depending on surrounding risk)
 - B-cell aplasia?: shown decrease of anti-spike mAbs after anti-CD20 (Rituximab) -
 - Effect on T-cells (CD4-help? CD4/CD8-cytotoxic?): expected higher after mRNA vaccines
- Influenza and pneumococcal vaccination is strongly recommended in patients treated for hematologic cancers, esp SCT and CAR T cell treated patients
- Vaccination of close contacts with normal immune system is recommended





Hematologic changes in patients with COVID-19?

Hematologic changes in patients with COVID-19?

Stem Cell Reviews and Reports https://doi.org/10.1007/s12015-020-09987-4

Is COVID-19 a New Hematologic Disease?

Benjamin Debuc¹ · David M. Smadja^{2,3}

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

SARS-CoV-2 viruses are positive single-stranded RNA viruses, whose infection can be asymptomatic or lead to the coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19). Covid-19 is a respiratory infection with a significant impact on the hematopoietic system and hemostasis leading to several cardiovascular complications. Hematologic consequences of this new infection allowed medical community to start new treatment approaches concerning infection going from targeted anti-inflammatory drugs to anticoagulation or stem cell therapies. A better understanding of Covid-19 pathophysiology, in particular hematological disorders, will help to choose appropriate treatment strategies.

 $\label{eq:Keywords} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Keywords} \hspace{0.1cm} SARS-CoV-2 \cdot Covid-19 \cdot Coagulopathy \cdot D-dimers \cdot Lymphopenia \cdot Mesenchymal \hspace{0.1cm} stem \hspace{0.1cm} cells \cdot Cytokine \cdot Inflammation \cdot Thrombosis \cdot Stem \hspace{0.1cm} cells \end{array}$







Hematological findings and complications of COVID-19

COVID-19 is a systemic infection with a significant impact on the hematopoietic system and hemostasis

► At diagnosis:

- PBO:
 - Lymphopenia: cardinal laboratory finding, with prognostic potential
 - Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio and peak platelet/lymphocyte ratio: may have prognostic value
- Biomarkers: poor prognostic markers
 - high serum procalcitonin
 - High ferritin
- During the **disease course**: longitudinal evaluation of
 - lymphocyte count dynamics -
 - inflammatory indices, including LDH, CRP and IL-6

 \rightarrow identify cases with dismal prognosis and start prompt intervention in order to improve outcomes



Hematological findings and complications of COVID-19

- Blood hypercoagulability (high risk for VTE): common among hospitalized COVID-19 patients
 - Elevated D-Dimer levels
 - gradual increase during disease course is associated with disease worsening
 - PT and aPTT prolongation
 - DIC (disseminated intravascular coagulation)

 \rightarrow high risk for thrombosis and death

- Need for early and prolonged pharmacological thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin
 - Exact dosing not known yet

